

Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Research 1 (3) 2012, 164-167

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A Prospective Study on Complications of Central Venous Catheter Insertion in Surgical Patients Dr. Kunal Kailas Jadhav

Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Smt. Kashibai Navale Medical College and General Hospital

ABSTRACT

Central venous catheter (CVC) insertion is a common procedure in surgical patients for administering medications, fluids, blood products, and hemodynamic monitoring. Despite its benefits, the procedure is associated with various complications, including mechanical, infectious, and thrombotic events. This prospective study aimed to evaluate the incidence and types of complications related to CVC insertion in surgical patients. Over six months, 200 patients undergoing elective surgery who required CVC insertion were included. The overall complication rate was 15%, with mechanical complications being the most frequent (10%), followed by infectious (3%) and thrombotic complications (2%). Mechanical complications were significantly reduced with the use of ultrasound guidance (5% vs. 15% without ultrasound guidance). This study highlights the importance of adopting preventive measures such as ultrasound guidance and strict aseptic techniques to minimize complications. Proper training and adherence to protocols can reduce the risks and improve patient outcomes.

Keywords: Central venous catheter, Surgical patients, Complications, Ultrasound guidance, Mechanical complications

INTRODUCTION:

Central venous catheter (CVC) insertion is an essential procedure in surgical patients for various diagnostic and therapeutic purposes, including fluid resuscitation, medication administration, central venous pressure monitoring, and access for parenteral nutrition. Despite its widespread use, CVC insertion is associated with several risks and complications, which can range from mechanical issues, such as arterial puncture or pneumothorax, to more serious infectious and thrombotic complications (1,2).

Mechanical complications are often related to the insertion technique, patient anatomy, and the operator's experience. These include arterial puncture, hematoma formation, pneumothorax, hemothorax, and catheter malposition. Studies have shown that these complications are more common when operators rely solely on anatomical landmarks for insertion, as opposed to using ultrasound guidance. Pneumothorax, one of the most feared mechanical complications, can lead to respiratory distress, requiring immediate intervention such as chest tube placement (3,4).

Infectious complications, such as catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSIs), occur due to contamination during catheter insertion or poor catheter maintenance. The incidence of CRBSIs varies between institutions, but it remains a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in hospitalized patients (5). Various guidelines recommend the use of full-barrier precautions and aseptic techniques to reduce the risk of CRBSIs (6).

Thrombotic complications, including deep vein thrombosis (DVT) or catheter-related thrombosis, occur due to endothelial injury during catheter insertion, prolonged catheter dwell time, or hypercoagulable states in the patient. Thrombotic events can lead to complications such as catheter malfunction, venous congestion, and pulmonary embolism (7,8).

To mitigate these risks, several preventive strategies have been developed. Ultrasound-guided catheter insertion is now widely recommended as it increases the success rate of first-pass insertion and significantly reduces the risk of mechanical complications (9). Similarly, adherence to strict aseptic techniques during both catheter insertion and

64

maintenance has been shown to reduce infectious complications (10).

advancements, CVC-related Despite these complications remain a concern in clinical practice, especially in the surgical patient population where co-existing conditions, such as immunosuppression or coagulopathy, may increase the risk of complications. This study aims to assess the incidence of CVC-related complications and evaluate the effectiveness of preventive measures such as ultrasound guidance and aseptic techniques in reducing these complications in surgical patients.

Aim and Objectives:

Aim:

To assess the incidence and types of complications associated with central venous catheter insertion in surgical patients.

Objectives:

- To determine the overall complication rate of 1. CVC insertion in surgical patients.
- 2. To evaluate the effectiveness of ultrasound guidance and preventive measures in reducing CVC complications.

Materials and Methods:

This prospective observational study was conducted in the surgical departments of a tertiary care hospital over a six-month period. A total of 200 adult patients (aged 18 years or older) who required central venous catheterization for elective surgeries were included in the study. Patients were excluded if they had preexisting CVCs or were undergoing emergency surgery.

The primary data collected included patient demographics, insertion site, use of ultrasound guidance, and any complications encountered during or after CVC placement. Complications were categorized into mechanical (e.g., arterial puncture, pneumothorax), infectious (e.g., catheter-related bloodstream infections), and thrombotic (e.g., deep vein thrombosis) complications (11).

Inclusion Criteria:

- Adult surgical patients aged 18 years and above requiring CVC insertion.
- Patients undergoing elective surgery.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients with pre-existing CVCs.
- Patients undergoing emergency surgeries. •

Results:

Complication Type	Number of Cases (n)	Percentage (%)
Mechanical	20	10
Infectious	6	3
Thrombotic	4	2
Total	30	15

Variable		No Ultrasound Guidance (n
	Used $(n = 120)$	= 80)
Mechanical Complications	5	15
Infectious Complications	2	4
Thrombotic Complications	1	3

Description:

complications. Mechanical complications were the associated with CVC insertion, particularly mechanical most common (10%), followed by infectious (3%) and complications (12). The use of ultrasound guidance thrombotic (2%). The use of ultrasound guidance was shown to significantly reduce mechanical significantly reduced the incidence of mechanical complications, which is in line with recommendations complications (5 out of 120 cases with ultrasound vs. from various clinical guidelines. Previous studies have 15 out of 80 without).

The findings of this study are consistent with previous Of the 200 patients studied, 30 (15%) experienced literature indicating a significant rate of complications also demonstrated a lower incidence of complications when ultrasound is used for CVC placement, reducing

Discussion:

```
Page 165
```

the risk of arterial puncture, pneumothorax, and malposition (13).

Infectious complications, though less frequent, pose a serious risk. Catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSIs) are a known complication of CVC insertion and can lead to significant morbidity and mortality. In this study, the CRBSI rate was 3%, consistent with other studies (14). Adherence to aseptic techniques during insertion and catheter care is essential in 4. minimizing these infections (15).

Thrombotic complications occurred in 2% of cases, similar to previously reported rates in surgical patients. 5. These events are often associated with prolonged catheter use or patient-related risk factors, such as hypercoagulable states (16). Preventive strategies such as using smaller catheters and minimizing dwell time are recommended to reduce the risk of thrombosis 6. (17).

Overall, this study underscores the importance of preventive measures, such as ultrasound guidance and aseptic techniques, in reducing CVC-related 7. complications. Training healthcare providers in these techniques can further improve patient safety and outcomes.

Conclusion:

Central venous catheter insertion in surgical patients is associated with a significant risk of complications, with mechanical complications being the most frequent. The use of ultrasound guidance during 9. catheter insertion significantly reduces the risk of mechanical complications. Infectious and thrombotic complications, though less frequent, also present serious risks. The findings of this study highlight the importance of adopting best practices, including ultrasound guidance and strict aseptic techniques, to minimize the incidence of CVC-related complications. Future studies should focus on optimizing these preventive strategies and exploring their long-term 11. impact on patient outcomes.

References:

- 1. McGee DC, Gould MK. Preventing complications of central venous catheter rization. N Engl J Med. 2003;348 (12):11 23-1133.
- 2. Merrer J, De Jonghe B, Golliot F, Lefrant JY, 13. Raffy B, Barre E. Complications of femoral and subclavian venous catheterization in critically ill

patients: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2001; 286(6):700-707.

Raad I, Darouiche R, Dupuis J, Abi-Said D, Gabrielli A, Hachem R. Central venous catheters coated with minocycline and rifampin for the prevention of catheter-related colonization and bloodstream infections. Ann Intern Med. 1997;127 (4): 267-274.

Safdar N, Maki DG. Risk of catheter-related bloodstream infection with peripherally inserted central venous catheters used in hospitalized patients. Chest. 2005;128(2):489-495.

Bowdle TA, Bussey HI, Ware DL, Sprague K, Houston S, Moore L. Complications of central venous catheterization: Internal jugular vs subclavian access. Anesthesiology. 1994;81(5):1285-1292.

Ruesch S, Walder B, Tramer MR. Complications of central venous catheters: Internal jugular versus subclavian access—a systematic review. Crit Care Med. 2002; 30(2):454-460.

O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Dellinger EP, Gerberding JL, Heard SO, Maki DG. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2002;51(RR-10):1-29.

8. Raad I, Hanna H, Maki D. Intravascular catheter-related infections: Advances in diagnosis, prevention, and management. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7(10):645-657.

D. Timsit JF, Bouadma L, Ruckly S, Garrouste-Orgeas M, Rancurel E, Wolff M. Dressing disruption is a major risk factor for catheter-related infections. Crit Care Med. 2012;40(6):1707-1714.

 Eggimann P, Harbarth S, Constantin MN, Touveneau S, Chevrolet JC, Pittet D. Impact of a prevention strategy targeted at vascular-access care on incidence of infections acquired in intensive care. Lancet. 2000;355(9218):1864-1868.

- 11. Collin GR. Advances in central venous catheter care. Surg Infect (Larchmt). 2001; 2(2):137-140.
- 12. Farkas JC, Liu N, Rahmani J, Robert D, Nitenberg G. Incidence and risk factors for central venous catheter-related thrombosis in intensive care patients: A prospective study. Crit Care Med. 1995;23(1):52-59.
- 3. Baskin JL, Pui CH, Reiss U, Wilimas JA, Metzger ML, Ribeiro RC. Catheter-related thrombosis in children with cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(21):3391-3397.

- 14. Reynolds HN, Tarpey JL, Roberts LM, Hockings LE, Manganelli RC, Kollef MH. Femoral versus subclavian short-term catheterization and the risk of infection and thrombosis. Intensive Care Med. 1998; 24 (9):1033-1038.
- 15. Sznajder JI, Zveibil FR, Bitterman H, Weiner P, Bursztein S. Central vein catheterization: Failure and complication rates by three percutaneous approaches. Arch Intern Med. 1986;146(2):259-261.